

Report of the Strategic Director Place, to the meeting of Bradford West Area Committee to be held on 24 March 2022

O

Subject:

OBJECTIONS RECEIVED TO THE TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER FOR WAITING RESTRICTIONS ON VARIOUS ROADS IN THE BRADFORD WEST CONSTITUENCY

Summary statement:

This report considers objections to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order on various roads in the Bradford West Constituency.

EQUALITY & DIVERSITY:

There are no issues arising from the Council's Equality & Diversity Strategy.

Jason Longhurst Strategic Director Place

Report Contact: Andrew Smith Principal Engineer - Traffic & Road

Safety South

Phone: (01274) 434674

E-mail: andrew.smith@bradford.gov.uk

Portfolio:

Regeneration, Planning & Transport

Overview & Scrutiny Area:

Regeneration & Environment

1.0 SUMMARY

1.1. This report considers objections to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order on various roads in the Bradford West Constituency.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1. At its meeting on the 24 June 2021 this committee approved as part of its Safer Roads Programme, a scheme to introduce a Traffic Regulation Order on various roads throughout the Bradford West Constituency.
- 2.2. The Order has been promoted to resolve a number of requests for small areas of existing waiting restrictions to be amended or new restrictions to be introduced. The requests to resolve various traffic management issues have been raised by ward members, officers or local residents and businesses.
- 2.3. The Traffic Regulation Order was formally advertised between the 13 January and 4 February 2022. At the same time consultation letters and plans were posted to residents and businesses affected by the Order. This resulted in 4 objections to the proposals. Drawings showing the locations that received objections are attached as Appendix 1. There has also been 1 representation of support for the introduction of waiting restrictions on Walmer Villas, Manningham, 3 representations supporting the proposal to introduce a blue badge parking place on Jesmond Avenue, Toller and 1 representation supporting the amendment of the existing yellow lines on Nearcliffe Road.
- 2.4. A summary of the points of objection and corresponding officer comments is tabulated below: -

Objectors of	oncerns		
Beamsley	Road,	Granville	Road,
Granville Te	errace Ju	nction (Draw	ing No.
HS/TRSS/10	04773/CO	N-4B)	
First object	or	•	
l .			e

The proposed changes will not benefit the area they will cause more congestion and disruption. It is already extremely difficult to park in Beamsley Road, Granville Road and Granville Terrace. The proposed changes will prevent vulnerable people from parking outside their homes due to others taking up the limited parking space available. Putting double yellow lines which are not evenly split outside people's homes and on their private land (drive way) is inconsiderate. It also risks anti-social behaviour by way of some people being aggressive and fighting over parking which again is not beneficial for the community. To help make a change that is beneficial for all parties then work

Officer comments

The waiting restrictions have been proposed following reports about vehicles parking on the corners of the crossroads junction of Beamsley Road, Granville Road and Granville Terrace and across the entrance to Back Granville Road. The parking obstructs sight lines and creates problems for turning vehicles. The issues occur throughout the day but are particularly severe during prayer time at the local mosque. Prohibiting parking close to the junction will benefit the community by improving sight lines and turning movements.

The local residents who are directly affected by the proposals have been

Bradford West Area Committee Objectors concerns Officer comments with the residents and provide a reasonable consulted in writing. One resident solution that will not deprive the right and responded and their comments are enjoyments to living in their own home. summarised as the second objection. Second objector The objector welcomes the opportunity to The objector's concerns have been reduce congestion. Consideration and due considered and it is feasible to amend the care need to be given to the residents that waiting restrictions to permit parking outside will be affected in order to minimise the their property. The revised proposals are negative impact and inconvenience/harm shown on drawing HS/TRSS/104773/CONthat will be caused to the residents of the 4C attached as Appendix 2. affected area, the objector parks 2 vehicles at the front of their property and would like the proposals amending so that that they can continue to do this. Jesmond Avenue (Drawing No. HS/TRSS/104773/CON-17A) Third objector It is the residents of the property adjacent to The current bay is an informal Blue Badge the existing blue badge parking bay who are Parking Bay and is reliant on the good will parking their without displaying a blue of all car drivers to keep the space clear for badge. This should be address before other Blue Badge holders and for users to park residents are accused of wrongly using the wholly within the bay. Making the bay They often park outside official will only allow blue badge holders to neighbour's property who has a severely park there but it requires the bay to be disabled son who regularly visits which extended to a minimum length of 6.6 causes unnecessary anxiety. metres to conform with the Traffic Signs The formal bay should be within the limits of Regulation and General directions 2016. the frontage of the applicant's property. This will result in the bay encroaching onto Making it a permanent disabled parking bay neighbouring frontages and it is will require more space and will restrict understandable there will be some direct access for the neighbours. hardship for the neighbours. It is agreed This will have an impact on objectors son's that parking provision in the area does not mental wellbeing as this is the only place he meet the needs of the residents and this is visits and he has a close relationship with particularly pertinent for blue badge holders who need to be able to park close both the objector and his mother and this will equally impact the objector and worsen to their property and this can only be his anxiety. achieved with the introduction of a blue badge parking bay. The bay can be used by any blue badge holder and its use should not be restricted for the sole use of one person. Fourth objector

The current informal bay is not used as it

should. The resident's vehicle is always

parked outside of the parking bay lines.

The bay will only be able to be used by

blue badge holders and they must park

wholly within the bay markings.

Objectors concerns	Officer comments	
They also park a vehicle in the bay which is	The council has no authority to restrict the	
not used for the disabled resident.	number of cars a resident owns.	
The property where the bay is located has		
3 vehicles which are parked outside		
neighbouring properties and causes major		
issues for the neighbours.		

3.0 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- 3.1. Ward members and emergency services have been consulted and there have been no adverse comments to the advertised proposals.
- 3.2. The drawing No. HS/TRSS/104773/CON-17A showed a blue badge parking bay outside 68 Jesmond Avenue this is no longer in place therefore the drawing has been amended and the revised layout is shown on drawing HS/TRSS/104773/CON17B.

4.0 FINANCIAL & RESOURCE APPRAISAL

4.1. A budget of £17,000 has been allocated from the Safer Roads Budget. The project can be delivered within budget.

5.0 RISK MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES

5.1. There are no significant risks arising from this report.

6.0 LEGAL APPRAISAL

6.1. There are no specific issues arising from this report. The course of action proposed is in accordance with the Councils power as Highway Authority.

7.0 OTHER IMPLICATIONS

7.1. SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

There are no significant Sustainability implications arising from this report.

7.2. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IMPACTS

There is no impact on the Council's own and the wider District's carbon footprint and emissions from other greenhouse gases arising from this report.

7.3. COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

The introduction of some of the waiting restrictions in the Order will be beneficial in terms of road safety.

7.4. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

There are no implications on the Human rights Act.

7.5. TRADE UNION

None.

7.6. WARD IMPLICATIONS

Ward members have been consulted on the advertised Traffic Regulation Order.

7.7. AREA COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN IMPLICATIONS

None

7.8. IMPLICATIONS FOR CORPORATE PARENTING

None

7.9. ISSUES ARISING FROM PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESMENT

None

8.0 NOT FOR PUBLICATION DOCUMENTS

8.1. None

9.0 OPTIONS

- 9.1. That the prohibition of waiting at the junction of Beamsley Road and Granville Terrace be amended as detailed on drawing HS/TRSS/104773/CON-4C, that that the layout of the blue badge parking bay on Jesmond Avenue as shown on drawing HS/TRSS/104773/CON-17B be noted and the remaining objections be overruled and the Traffic Regulation Order be sealed and implemented as modified.
- 9.2. That the objections to the introduction of a formal blue badge parking bay on Jesmond Avenue be upheld. This will enable neighbours better access to parking directly outside their property however hardship for the blue badge holder will continue if none blue badge holders continue to park in the informal blue badge parking bay.
- 9.3. Councillors may propose an alternative course of action.

10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1. That the prohibition of waiting at the junction of Beamsley Road and Granville Terrace be modified as detailed on drawing HS/TRSS/104773/CON-4C, that that the layout of the blue badge parking bay on Jesmond Avenue as shown on drawing HS/TRSS/104773/CON-17B be noted and the remaining objections be overruled and the Traffic Regulation Order sealed and implemented as modified.
- 10.2. That the objectors be informed accordingly.

11.0 APPENDICES

- 11.1. Appendix 1 Drawings showing the proposals that have received objections
- 11.2. Appendix 2 Drawing No. HS/TRSS/104773/CON-4C & HS/TRSS/104773/CON-17B

12.0 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

12.1. None







